


CONFIDENTIAL

Executive Order  
11-9186/4

17 NOV 1959



Mr. Dulles has asked me to acknowledge and thank you for your telegram of 11 November requesting an appointment with him.

While we feel that our [redacted] offices with which you have been in contact are competent to handle official matters for the Agency, if you feel that you are not receiving entirely satisfactory consideration through these offices, I will be glad to see you at your convenience any time you are in the Washington area.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

Assistant to the Director

O/DCI/FMChapin:rad 17 Nov 59

Distribution:

Orig - Addressee

1 - JSE

1 - FMC

1 - OO

1 - HR w/ lsc.

DOCUMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☐  
☐ DECLASSIFIED  
CLASS. CHANGED BY TS 6 2011  
NEXT REVIEW DATE: \_\_\_\_\_  
AUTH: WA 10-4  
DATE: 27 FEB 1981 REVIEWER: [Redacted]

(EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT FILE)

CONFIDENTIAL

IN 47284

TDO WUD036 PD AR

NOV 11 21 43 59

NEW YORK NOV 11 325PME

ALLAN DULLES, DIRECTOR CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

SURPRISED THAT NOTWITHSTANDING SEVERAL REQUESTS TO YOUR

OFFICES, THAT I HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO

SEE YOU I HAVE NEVER RECEIVED THE COURTESY OF AN ANSWER FEEL THAT

WHAT I MUST SEE YOU ABOUT IS OF UTMOST IMPORTANCE AND CANNOT

BE DISCUSSED WITH ANYONE ELSE PLEASE ADVISE IF YOU ABLE SEE

ME

18P

75 55.

R TDO WUD036 AT 421PM DO

11-9186/1

CONFIDENTIAL

13 November 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Director for Operations *SSB*

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

1. The [REDACTED] Office has been in intermittent contact with Mr. [REDACTED] since 1948. These contacts have been at his request because we have never considered him a source worth developing. Much of what he has told us has been a distorted version of what is already well known; most of the rest has consisted of his fears of Communist inroads in Latin America, but the foreign positive intelligence content of these comments has been nil. It is our understanding that representatives of WH Division, DD/P have been in contact with Mr. [REDACTED] over approximately the same period of time and that their estimate parallels ours.

2. On 22 September 1959 Mr. [REDACTED] told our [REDACTED] Office that he would not give CIA any more information because the information he had passed to the Agency on various Latin America countries and personalities had leaked back to the countries of origin. He claimed that he had been informed of these leaks by members of the Secret Service of these countries with whom he kept in contact. On the same day Mr. [REDACTED] called from New York to our [REDACTED] Office and asked to speak with Mr. [REDACTED] whom he claimed to know personally. Mr. [REDACTED] took the call and listened to Mr. [REDACTED] complaints and assured him they would be sent to the proper authorities in the Agency. The information was passed to the Office of Security and CI Staff.

3. [REDACTED] indicates that this Division has had only two reports from him in 1959. One was not disseminated; the other was a one-paragraph [REDACTED] consisting of not very sensitive material that became overt information within a week of dissemination. [REDACTED] prior to 1959 yielded one report in 1955 and several in 1948 and 1949. None of the information in these reports is so sensitive that it could be traceable to one man, nor was the information of a particularly vital nature.

- 2 -

Subject: Robert T. Brinsmade

4. In view of the foregoing, we recommend that Mr. [redacted] not be given an appointment with the Director or Deputy Director. We are completely amenable to his being referred to [redacted] in our [redacted] Office but suggest that this may not satisfy him. In this event it would appear that an interview by [redacted] or other official close to the Director might be more appropriate.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1



25X1

Approved For Release 2003/06/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200050074-8

Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2003/06/13 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200050074-8